

Electronic Monitoring Subcommittee
of the
Sanford “Sandy” Krasnoff Criminal Justice Council

MEETING AGENDA

THURSDAY, October 12, 2017

1:30pm – 3:00pm

-
- | | | |
|------|--|----------------------|
| I. | Welcome and Opening Remarks | Judge Calvin Johnson |
| II. | Overview of OPJC Detention Alternatives | Judge Mark Doherty |
| III. | 3 rd Quarter Performance Measures | Subcommittee Members |

Criminal Justice Council Electronic Monitoring Subcommittee Minutes

October 12, 2017

On October 12, the Electronic Monitoring (EM) Subcommittee of the Criminal Justice Council convened at 1:32pm in the 8th floor Homeland Security Conference Room in City Hall. The following persons were present/absent:

Electronic Monitoring Subcommittee Members

Present:

Jetaun Griffin for Mark Doherty, Judge, OPJC
Calvin Johnson, Judge (Chair)
Lance Cardwell for Ed Quatrevaux, OIG
Germaine Simon, Director, YSC
Ralph Brandt, Assistant DA, DA's Office
Shinar Haynes, Director of Operations, OPCD

Arrived Later:

Aaron Clark-Rizzio, Executive Director LCCR
Susan Guidry, Councilmember

Office of Criminal Justice Coordination Staff

Present:

Amanda Simpkins
Du Bois Winford
Shelbi Flynn

I. Welcome and Opening Remarks

Commissioner Calvin Johnson called the meeting to order at 1:32pm. The Commissioner called roll, welcomed everyone to the Electronic Monitoring Subcommittee meeting, and made his opening remarks.

II. Overview of OPJC Detention Alternatives

Ms. Ann Maier of OPJC spoke about the various detention alternatives that OPJC utilizes like GROWW and MELOW. Fewer alternatives exist than in past years. Some offenses, like firearm offenses, have a policy override where the juvenile must be detained and is therefore not eligible for any alternatives to detention. All alternatives are under the supervision of the bench. Review hearings occur every 14 days.

During the presentation, Mr. Germaine Simon opened a discussion about the Youth Challenge run by the Louisiana National Guard as a diversion program.

Judge Calvin Johnson led a discussion about overall juvenile justice decisions. Ralph Brandt observed that EM is being used post adjudication, which is the realm of OJJ. Ms. Maier added that there may be a break in service with post adjudication EM assignment. Other topics discussed as a group include:

- There are no provisions for children with mental health issues; EM is often used but is inappropriate
- Children at risk of sex trafficking are detained for safety
- EMP is sometimes used as a component of FINS

- DCFS and the Office of Juvenile Justice should be a part of the conversation (Subcommittee) and solution

III. 3rd Quarter Performance Measures

Judge Johnson introduced Jetaun Griffin from Juvenile Court to present performance data for Q3.

Jetaun Griffin presented “Evaluation of Electronic Monitoring Program” which analyzed key data points in EMP originally proposed and new data points discussed in the August 31 meeting. Mr. Ralph Brandt of the District Attorney’s Office inquired about outcomes for those removed for technical violations. Jetaun Griffin explained that the youth are detained pending a court appearance. The judge has discretion about whether or not to release them following that court appearance.

The group then opened discussion about consequences for tampering with the ankle monitors. Members were seeking clarity on the process post-violation and associated consequences.

Alison Poort asked whether the previous notification issue had been resolved. The issue has been largely resolved, though small elements are still being worked out.

Amanda Simpkins noted that the group has been circling around issues of who is eligible to be placed on the monitors and suggested that the group review guidelines during the next meeting.

The meeting concluded at 2:58 pm.